

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/951,635	Applicant(s) Kato
	Examiner Darren Schuberg	Group Art Unit 2872

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Darren Schuberg

(3) _____

(2) Sean O'Brien

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 25, 1999

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Applicant was informed that the PTOL-37 mailed 2/12/99 included a requirement to submit formal drawings as outlined in the examiner's amendment attached to paper number 12 because the formal drawings filed by the applicant on 9/16/98 were never received. It was decided that the applicant should respond to the notice for submission of formal drawings by resubmitting the formal drawings in accordance with customary procedures following a notice of allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



Jennifer Spyrou
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.